arXiv:1210.1812v1 [math.DG] 5 Oct 2012

MULTIPLE WARPED PRODUCT METRICS INTO QUADRICS

H. MIRANDOLA AND F. VITÓRIO

Abstract. In this paper, we will construct smooth isometric immersions of multiple warped products metrics in quadrics of semi-Euclidean spaces. Our main theorem generalizes previous results as given by Blanuša, Rozendorn, Henke and Azov.

1. Introduction

By fundamental works of Nash [18] and Gromov and Rokhlin [11] we know that every m-dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold admits a smooth isometric immersion in an n-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$, for some $n \leq \max\{m(m+5)/2, m(m+3)/2+5\}$, see Günther [12]. Since then the problem of finding the lowest codimension possible is one of the major open problem in the theory of isometric immersions. For books and surveys about this subject see, for instance, Gromov and Rokhlin [11], Jacobowitz [17], Poznyak and Sokolov [21], Aminov [1], Dajczer [8], Borisenko [6] and Han and Hong [13]. On the other hand, since that the results of Nash and Gromov and Rokhlin arrive from existence results for certain PDE's, it is also a very interesting problem the construction of isometric immersions for each Riemannian metric given in a Euclidean space, mainly if the codimension attained is lower than the one given by the theorems of Nash [18] and Gromov and Rokhlin [11]. This is the point of view of the present paper.

Blanuša [4, 5] gave a beautiful method to construct smooth isometric embeddings of the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$ in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^6$ and in the standard spherical space $\mathbb{S}^8$. Pozniak [20] wrote about the Blanuša's surface: *"There is no doubt that this result is one of the most elegant in the theory of immersions of two-dimensional manifolds in Euclidean space"*. Also in [4, 5], Blanuša constructed smooth isometric immersions of an infinite Möbius band with hyperbolic metric in $\mathbb{R}^8$ and in $\mathbb{S}^{10}$, and an isometric immersion of the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n$ in $\mathbb{R}^{6n-5}$. His method was used and modified in further works: (i) Rozendorn [22] constructed smooth isometric immersions of the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ with a warped product metric of the form $d\sigma^2 = dt^2 + f(t)^2 dx^2$ in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^5$; such class of metrics includes the hyperbolic one which is isometric to the metric $d\sigma^2 = dt^2 + e^{2t}dx^2$. A celebrated theorem of Hilbert [16] states that $\mathbb{H}^2$ cannot be isometrically immersed in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and the existence of an isometric immersion of $\mathbb{H}^2$ in $\mathbb{R}^4$ it is already an unsolved problem (for a partial answer see Sabitov [23]). (ii) Henke [14] exhibited isometric immersions of $\mathbb{H}^n$ in $\mathbb{R}^{4n-3}$ and in $\mathbb{S}^{4n-3}$. (iii) Henke and Nettekoven [15] showed that $\mathbb{H}^n$ can be isometrically embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{6n-6}$ whose image is the graph of a smooth map $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{5n-6}$. (iv) Azov [2, 3] considered the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ endowed with one of the two class of warped metrics: $d\sigma^2 =$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C20; Secondary 31C05.

The second author was partially supported by CNPq-Brazil.

$dt^2 + f(t)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} dx_j^2$ and $d\sigma^2 = g(t)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} dx_j^2$ and solve the problem of their immersibility in Euclidean and spherical spaces.

We will consider the product manifold $M^n = I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, where I is an open interval, endowed with a multiple warped product metric of the form:

$$d\sigma^2 = \rho(t)^2\, dt^2 + \eta_1(t)^2 dx_1^2 + \ldots + \eta_{n-1}(t)^2 dx_{n-1}^2 \tag{1}$$

where $\rho, \eta_j : I \to (0, \infty)$, with $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, are positive smooth functions. We will use the Blanuša method to exhibit isometric immersion of these metrics in quadrics of semi-Euclidean spaces. There exists a wide literature about aspects of rigidity and non immersibility of these spaces (see for instance Nölker [19], Chen [7], Florit [10], Dajczer and Tojeiro [9] and references therein).

We recall that the semi-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n_a$, with $0 \le a \le n$ integers, is simply the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ endowed with the inner product of signature $(a, n-a)$ given by

$$\langle \,, \rangle = -\,dx_1^2 - \ldots - dx_a^2 + dx_{a+1}^2 + \ldots + dx_n^2, \tag{2}$$

where dx_j, with $j = 1, \ldots, n$, denote the canonical coframes of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Given $c > 0$, let $S^n_a(c)$ and $H^n_a(-c)$ be the following quadrics hypersurfaces:

$$\mathbb{S}^n_a(c) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_a \mid \langle x, x \rangle = \frac{1}{c} \right\};$$

$$\mathbb{H}^n_a(-c) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_{a+1} \mid \langle x, x \rangle = -\frac{1}{c} \right\}.$$

Both hypersurfaces are semi-Riemannian manifolds with signature $(a, n-a)$ and have constant curvatures c and $-c$, respectively. If $a = 0$ then $\mathbb{S}^n_0(c) = \mathbb{S}^n(c)$ is the standard sphere and $\mathbb{H}^n_0(-c) = \mathbb{H}^n(-c)$ is the hyperbolic space. If $a = 1$ then the semi-Riemannian universal covering spaces of $\mathbb{S}^n_1(1)$ and $\mathbb{H}^n_1(-1)$ are called de Sitter dS^n and anti-de Sitter AdS^n spaces, respectively.

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $M^n = I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, where I is an open interval, be the product manifold endowed with a multiple warped product metric as given in (1). Then, for all $c > 0$ and all non-negative integer $0 \le a \le n-1$, the manifold M admits:*

(i) smooth isometric immersions in $\mathbb{R}^{4n-2a-3}_a$;
(ii) smooth isometric immersions in $\mathbb{S}^{4n-2a-3}_a(c)$;
(iii) smooth isometric immersion in $\mathbb{H}^{4n-a-3}_a(-c)$;
(iv) smooth isometric embeddings in $\mathbb{R}^{8n-6a-7}_a$;
(v) smooth isometric embeddings in $\mathbb{S}^{8n-6a-5}_a(c)$;
(vi) smooth isometric embeddings in $\mathbb{H}^{8n-5a-7}_a(-c)$;
(vii) smooth isometric embeddings in $\mathbb{R}^{5n-3a-4}_a$, if $\inf_{t\in I} \eta_{a+j}(t) > 0$, for all $j \ge 1$.

All the immersions and embedding above are given explicitly. If $a = n-1$ then the immersions of items (i) and (iii) are actually embeddings and all the immersions and embedding above are analytic provided that ρ, η are analytic functions.

The two-dimensional version of Theorem 1.1 is the following result.

Corollary 1.1. *Let $M^2 = I \times \mathbb{R}$ be the product surface, where I is an open interval, endowed with the metric $d\sigma^2 = \rho(t)^2 dt^2 + \eta(t)^2 dx^2$, where $\rho, \eta : I \to (0, \infty)$ are positive smooth (analytics) functions. Then, for all $c > 0$, the surface M admits:*

(i) smooth isometric immersions in $\mathbb{R}^5$, $\mathbb{S}^5(c)$ and $\mathbb{H}^5(-c)$;

(ii) smooth isometric embeddings in $\mathbb{R}^9$, $\mathbb{S}^{11}(c)$ *and* $\mathbb{H}^9(-c)$;
(iii) smooth isometric embeddings in $\mathbb{R}^6$, *if* $\inf_{t\in I}\eta(t) > 0$.
(iv) smooth (analytic) isometric embeddings in $\mathbb{R}^3_1$;
(v) smooth (analytic) isometric immersion in $\mathbb{S}^3_1(c)$;
(vi) smooth (analytic) isometric embeddings in $\mathbb{H}^5_1(-c)$;

Remark 1. About Theorem 1.1, if the metric $d\sigma^2$ is of the form:

$$d\sigma^2 = dt^2 + \eta(t)^2 \text{ or } d\sigma^2 = \rho(t)^2(dt^2 + dx^2) \tag{3}$$

then the smooth isometric immersion of M^2 in $\mathbb{R}^5$ was given by Rozendorn [22] and the smooth isometric immersion of M^2 in $\mathbb{S}^5(1)$ was given by Azov [2]. Henke and Nettekoven [15] showed that if the metric of M^2 is of the form

$$d\sigma^2 = dt^2 + (\eta(t)^2 + 1)dx^2 \tag{4}$$

then it admits an isometric embedding in $\mathbb{R}^6$. Note that the metrics of the form (3) and (4) include the hyperbolic ones. The isometric embedding of the hyperbolic metric $d\sigma^2 = dt^2 + \cosh(t)^2dx^2 = dt^2 + (\sinh(t)^2 + 1)dx^2$ was given by Blanusa [4].

Let $f_l : (M_l, g_l) \to \mathbb{R}^{n_l}$, with $l = 1, \ldots, k$, be isometric immersions of the Riemannian manifold (M_l, g_l) in the Euclidean spaces $\mathbb{R}^{n_l}$. Let $\rho, \eta_l : I \to (0,\infty)$ be positive smooth functions, where I is an open interval. It is simple to show that the product manifold $M = I \times M_1 \times \ldots \times M_k$ with the warped product metric

$$g = \rho(t)^2dt^2 + \eta_1(t)^2g_1 + \ldots + \eta_k(t)^2g_k \tag{5}$$

is isometrically immersed in the product space $I \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$ endowed with the warped product metric

$$d\sigma^2 = \rho(t)^2dt^2 + \eta_1(t)^2(dx_{11}^2 + \ldots + dx_{1n_1}^2) + \ldots + \eta_k(t)^2(dx_{k1}^2 + \ldots + dx_{kn_k}^2),$$

where $x_l = (x_{l1}, \ldots, x_{ln_l})$ denotes the coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{n_l}$. Thus it is a consequence of Theorem 1.1 the following result.

Corollary 1.2. *With the notation above, the manifold* (M, g) *admits:*

(i) smooth isometric immersions in $\mathbb{R}^{4n-2a+1}_a$, $\mathbb{S}^{4n-2a+1}_a(c)$ *and* $\mathbb{H}^{4n-a+1}_a(-c)$;
(ii) smooth isometric embeddings in $\mathbb{R}^{8n-6a+1}_a$, $\mathbb{S}^{8n-6a+3}_a(c)$ *and* $\mathbb{H}^{8n-5a+1}_a(-c)$, *provided that* f_l *is an embedding, for all* $l = 1, \ldots, k$;
(iii) smooth isometric embeddings in $\mathbb{R}^{5n-3a+1}_a$, *provided that* f_l *is an embedding and* $\inf_{t\in I}\eta_l(t) > 0$, *for all* $l = 1, \ldots, k$.

2. TWO-DIMENSIONAL WARPED METRICS IN TRIDIMENSIONAL QUADRICS AND THE BLANUŠA'S FUNCTIONS.

We will to consider some situations where is relatively simple to construct isometric immersions of warped product surfaces in tridimensional quadrics. Cylinder over plane curves and surfaces of revolutions are the simplest class of warped product metrics isometrically immersed in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Furthermore, the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$ can be seen as a connected component of $\mathbb{H}^2(-1) \subset \mathbb{R}^3_1$ or as a totally geodesic embedded surface in $\mathbb{H}^3(-1)$. Further cases are given in Proposition 2.1 below.

Proposition 2.1. *Let* $M^2 = I \times J$ *the product surface endowed with the warped product metric:*

$$d\sigma^2 = \rho(t)^2dt^2 + \eta(t)^2dx^2.$$

Then, for all $c > 0$, *the surface* M *admits:*

- *(a) isometric immersions in* $\mathbb{R}^3$*, if* $\eta'(t)^2 < \rho(t)^2$*, for all* $t \in I$*;*
- *(b) isometric immersions in* $\mathbb{S}^3(c)$*, if* $\eta(t)^2 < \frac{1}{c} - \frac{1}{c\rho(t)^2}$*, for all* $t \in I$*.*
- *(c) isometric immersions in* $\mathbb{H}^3(-c)$*, if* $\eta'(t)^2 < \rho(t)^2(\frac{1}{c} + \eta(t)^2)$*, for all* $t \in I$*;*
- *(d) isometric embeddings in* $\mathbb{R}^3_1$
- *(e) isometric immersions in* $\mathbb{S}^3_1(c)$
- *(f) isometric immersions in* $\mathbb{H}^3_1(-c)$*, if* $\eta(t)^2 < \frac{1}{c}$*; or* $\eta'(t)^2 > \rho(t)^2(\frac{1}{c} + \eta(t)^2)$*, for all* $t \in I$*;*

Moreover, if the functions ρ, η *are analytic then the immersions above are analytic.*

Remark 2. About Items (a), (c) and (f) in Proposition 2.1, the warped product metrics of the form:

$$\eta'(t)^2 dt^2 + \eta(t)^2 dx^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\eta'(t)^2}{\frac{1}{c} + \eta(t)^2} dt^2 + \eta(t)^2 dx^2$$

have constant Gaussian curvatures 0 and $-c$, respectively. In particular, the warped metrics $dt^2 + t^2 dx^2$ and $dt^2 + \sinh(t)^2 dx^2$, with $t > 0$, have constant curvatures 0 and -1, respectively.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. First we will prove (a). To do this, we assume that $\eta'(t)^2 < \rho(t)^2$, for all $t \in I$. Let $f : I \times J \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be the smooth function

$$f(t,x) = \Big(\int_{t_0}^{t} \sqrt{\rho(\tau)^2 - \eta'(\tau)^2} d\tau \,,\, \eta(t) g(x) \Big),$$

where $t_0 \in I$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is the map given by

$$g(u) = (\cos(u), \sin(u)). \tag{6}$$

Note that f is obtained by the revolution of the curve $\alpha(t) = (\sqrt{\rho(t)^2 - \eta'(t)^2}, \eta(t))$, with $t \in I$, in the yz-plane around the z-axis. A simple computation shows that the metric induced by f satisfies $f^*(\langle \, , \rangle) = \rho(t)^2 dt^2 + \eta(t)^2 dx^2$, which implies that $f : M \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is an isometric immersion. This concludes (a).

To show (c), we assume that $\eta'(t)^2 < \rho(t)^2(\frac{1}{c} + \eta(t)^2)$, for all $t \in I$. Let $f_h : I \times J \to \mathbb{R}^2_1 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ be the smooth map given by

$$f_h(t,x) = \Big(\sqrt{\frac{1}{c} + \eta(t)^2}\, h(\theta_h(t)) \,,\, \eta(t) g(x) \Big),$$

where $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2_1$ is the map given by

$$h(u) = (\cosh(u), \sinh(u)) \tag{7}$$

and $\theta_h : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is the function given by

$$\theta_h(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{c} + \eta(\tau)^2} \left(\rho(\tau)^2 - \frac{\eta'(\tau)^2}{\frac{1}{c} + \eta(\tau)^2} \right)} d\tau.$$

The function θ_h is well defined since $\rho(t)^2 > \frac{\eta'(t)^2}{\frac{1}{c} + \eta(t)^2}$, for all $t \in I$. Furthermore, since $\langle f_h(t,x), f_h(t,x) \rangle = -\frac{1}{c}$, the image of f_h is contained in $\mathbb{H}^3(-c)$. Using that $\langle h(u), h(u) \rangle = -1$, $\langle h(u), h'(u) \rangle = 0$ and $\langle h'(u), h'(u) \rangle = 1$, by a direct computation, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_h^*(\langle \, , \rangle) &= \left(-\frac{\eta(t)^2 \eta'(t)^2}{\frac{1}{c} + \eta(t)^2} + \Big(\frac{1}{c} + \eta(t)^2 \Big) \theta_h'(t)^2 + \eta(t)^2 \right) dt^2 + \eta(t)^2 dx^2 \\ &= \rho(t)^2 dt^2 + \eta(t)^2 dx^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $f_h : M \to \mathbb{H}^3(-c)$ is an isometric immersion, which concludes (c).

To show (b), we assume that $\frac{1}{\rho(t)^2} + c\eta(t)^2 < 1$, for all $t \in I$. Let $f_s : I \times J \to \mathbb{R}^4$ be the map given by

$$f_s(t,x) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{c} - \eta(t)^2}\, g(\theta_s(t))\,,\, \eta(t) g(x) \right),$$

where $\theta_s : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is the function defined by

$$\theta_s(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{c} - \eta(t)^2} \left(\rho(t)^2 - \frac{\frac{1}{c}}{\frac{1}{c} - \eta(t)^2} \right)}.$$

The function f_s is well defined since $\frac{1}{\rho(t)^2} + c\eta(t)^2 < 1$, which implies:

$$\frac{1}{c} - \eta(t)^2 > \frac{1}{c\rho(t)^2} > 0 \text{ and } \frac{\frac{1}{c}}{\frac{1}{c} - \eta(t)^2} < \rho(t)^2.$$

By a direct computation, $f_s^*(\langle\,,\rangle) = d\sigma^2$. Since $\langle f_s(t,x), f_s(t,x) \rangle = \frac{1}{c}$ we conclude that $f_s : M^2 \to \mathbb{S}^3(c)$ is an isometric immersion. Item (b) is proved.

To show (d) we consider the map $\tilde{f} : I \times J \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2_1$ given by

$$\tilde{f}(t,x) = \left(\int_{t_0}^{t} \sqrt{\rho(\tau)^2 + \eta'(\tau)^2} d\tau\,,\, \eta(t) h(x) \right),$$

where $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2_1$ is the map as given in (7). By a direct computation we obtain that $\tilde{f}^*(\langle\,,\rangle) = d\sigma^2$. This implies that $\tilde{f} : M^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3_1 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2_1$ is an isometric immersion. Furthermore, it is easy to show that $\tilde{f}$ is an embedding. This follows easily from the facts that the functions $t \in I \mapsto \int_{t_0}^{t} \sqrt{\rho(\tau)^2 + \eta'(t)^2} d\tau$ and $x \in J \mapsto \sinh(x)$ are diffeomorphism onto their corresponding images. This concludes (d).

We will prove (e). Let $\tilde{f}_s : I \times J \to \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2_1$ be the smooth function given by

$$\tilde{f}_s(t,x) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{c} + \eta(t)^2}\, g(\tilde{\theta}_s(t))\,,\, \eta(t) h(x) \right),$$

where $\tilde{\theta}_s : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is the function given by

$$\tilde{\theta}_s(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{c} + \eta(\tau)^2} \Big(\rho(\tau)^2 + \eta'(\tau)^2 - \frac{\eta(\tau)^2 \eta'(\tau)^2}{\frac{1}{c} + \eta(\tau)^2} \Big)}\, d\tau.$$

The function $\tilde{\theta}_s$ is well defined since $\eta'(t)^2 - \frac{\eta(t)^2 \eta'(t)^2}{1 + \eta(t)^2} = \frac{\eta'(t)^2}{1+\eta(t)^2} \geq 0$, for all $t \in I$. Since $\left\langle \tilde{f}_s(t,x), \tilde{f}_s(t,x) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{c}$, for all $(t,x) \in I \times J$, the image of $\tilde{f}_s$ is contained in the quadric $\mathbb{S}^3_1(c)$. Furthermore, the induced metric of $\tilde{f}_s$ satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_s^*(\langle\,,\rangle) &= \left(\frac{\eta(t)^2 \eta'(t)^2}{\frac{1}{c} + \eta(t)^2} + \Big(\frac{1}{c} + \eta(t)^2 \Big) \tilde{\theta}'_s(t)^2 - \eta'(t)^2 \right) dt^2 + \eta(t)^2 dx^2 \\ &= \rho(t)^2 dt^2 + \eta(t)^2 dx^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\tilde{f}_s : M^2 \to \mathbb{S}^3_1$ is an isometric immersion. This concludes (e).

Finally, we will show (f). First, we assume that $\eta(t)^2 < \frac{1}{c}$, for all $t \in I$. Let $\tilde{f}_h : I \times J \to \mathbb{R}^2_1 \times \mathbb{R}^2_1$ be the smooth map given by

$$\tilde{f}_h(t,x) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{c} - \eta(t)^2}\, h(\tilde{\theta}_h(t))\,,\, \eta(t)h(x) \right),$$

where $\tilde{\theta}_h : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is the function defined by

$$\tilde{\theta}_h(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{c} - \eta(\tau)^2} \left(\rho(\tau)^2 + \frac{\eta'(\tau)^2}{\frac{1}{c} - \eta(\tau)^2} \right)}\, d\tau.$$

A standard computation shows that $\left\langle \tilde{f}_h(t,x), \tilde{f}_h(t,x) \right\rangle = -\frac{1}{c}$ and $\tilde{f}^*_h(\langle\,,\rangle) = d\sigma^2$. It is not difficult to show that $\tilde{f}_h$ is an embedding. Thus, $\tilde{f}_h : M^2 \to \mathbb{H}^3(-c)$ is an isometric embedding.

Now, we assume that $\eta'(t)^2 > \rho(t)^2(\frac{1}{c} + \eta(t)^2)$, for all $t \in I$. Let $\bar{f}_h : I \times J \to \mathbb{R}^2_2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ be the smooth map given by

$$\bar{f}_h(t,x) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{c} + \eta(t)^2}\, \cos(\bar{\theta}_h(t)), \sqrt{\frac{1}{c} + \eta(t)^2}\, \sin(\bar{\theta}_h(t)), \eta(t)g(x) \right),$$

where $\bar{\theta}_h : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is the function given by

$$\bar{\theta}_h(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{c} + \eta(\tau)^2} \left(\frac{\eta'(\tau)^2}{\frac{1}{c} + \eta(\tau)^2} - \rho(\tau)^2 \right)}$$

By a direct computation we obtain that $\left\langle \bar{f}_h, \bar{f}_h \right\rangle = -\frac{1}{c}$ and $\bar{f}^*_h(\langle\,,\rangle) = d\sigma^2$. Thus, we have that $\bar{f}_h : M \to \mathbb{H}^3_1(-c)$ is an isometric immersion. Item (f) and Proposition 2.1 are proved. □

Lemma 2.1 below was proved by Blanuša [4]. We will recall its proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.1. There exist smooth functions $\psi_1, \psi_2 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with the following properties:

(i) $\psi_1(x)^2 + \psi_2(x)^2 = 1$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $j = 1, 2$;
(ii) $\psi_j(x) = \psi_j(x+2)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $j = 1, 2$;
(iii) The derivatives $\psi_1^{(k)}(2l+1) = 0$, for all $k \geq 0$ and l integers ;
(iv) The derivatives $\psi_2^{(k)}(2l) = 0$, for all $k \geq 0$ and l integers.

Proof. Consider the function $\xi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\xi(x) = \begin{cases} \sin(\pi x) e^{\frac{-1}{(\sin(\pi x))^2}}, & \text{for all } x \text{ non-integer;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Set $A = \int_0^1 \xi(t)dt$ and let $\psi_1, \psi_2 : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ the non-negative functions defined by

$$\psi_1(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{A} \int_0^{x+1} \xi(t)dt} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \psi_2(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{A} \int_0^{x} \xi(t)dt}.$$

It is simple to see that ψ_1 and ψ_2 are well defined, since $\int_0^x \xi(t)dt \geq 0$, for all x. Since $\xi(x) = \xi(x+2)$, for all x and $\int_0^2 \xi(t)dt = 0$ we have that $\psi_j(x) = \psi_j(x+2)$, for all

x and $j \in \{1,2\}$. Since $\xi(t+1) = -\xi(t)$, for all t, using change of variable, we have $A\,\psi_1(x)^2 = \int_0^{x+1} \xi(t)dt = A + \int_1^{x+1} \xi(t)dt = A + \int_0^x \xi(\tau+1)d\tau = A - \int_0^x \xi(\tau)d\tau = A - A\,\psi_2(x)^2$. Thus it holds that $\psi_1(x)^2 + \psi_2(x)^2 = 1$, for all x.

We will show that ψ_1 and ψ_2 are smooth and that all the derivatives of ψ_1 and ψ_2 satisfy $\psi_1^{(k)}(2l+1) = \psi_2^{(k)}(2l) = 0$, for all $k \geq 0$ and l integers. In fact, it is simple to see that ξ is smooth and the all derivatives $\xi^{(k)}(l) = 0$, for all $k \geq 0$ and l integers. Thus ψ_1^2, ψ_2^2 are smooth functions. If $x \neq 2l$, for all l integer, then $\psi_2(x) \neq 0$, hence ψ_2 is smooth at x. Since $\psi_2(x) = \psi_2(x+2)$, to show that ψ_2 is smooth at the points of the form $x = 2l$, with l integer, it suffices to show that ψ_2 is smooth at the point $x = 0$. Using that $\sin(\pi t)^2 \leq (\pi x)^2$, for all $|t| \leq |x| < 1/2$, we obtain that $|\psi_2(x)| \leq \sqrt{|x|}e^{\frac{-1}{|x|}}$, for all $|x| < 1$. This implies that

$$\lim_{x\to 0} \left|x^{-k}\psi_2(x)\right| \leq \lim_{x\to 0} |x|^{-k+\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{-1}{|x|}} = 0,$$

for all $k \geq 0$ integer. We conclude that $\psi_2^{(k)}(0) = 0$, for all $k \geq 0$ integer. A similar argument shows that ψ_1 is smooth and that $\psi^{(k)}(2l+1) = 0$, for all $k \geq 0$ and l integers. Lemma 2.1 is proved. □

Let $S_1, S_2 : \mathbb{R} \to (0,\infty)$ be positive step functions satisfying:

(I) $S_1(x)$ is constant on each interval $[2l+1, 2l+3)$, for all l integer;
(II) $S_2(x)$ is constant on each interval $[2l, 2l+2)$, for all l integer.

Let I be an open interval and $\gamma^I : I \to \mathbb{R}$ an analytic increasing diffeomorphism. For each $j = 1,2$, we consider the following functions:

$$\psi_j^I = \psi_j \circ \gamma^I \quad \text{and} \quad S_j^I = S_j \circ \gamma^I.$$

We also consider the points $t_l = (\gamma^I)^{-1}(l) \in I$, with l integer. Using Lemma 2.1, (I) and (II) we obtain

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \psi_1^I(t)^2 + \psi_2^I(t)^2 = 1, \text{ for all } t \in I; \\ \\ \psi_j^I\big((\gamma^I)^{-1}(x)\big) = \psi_j^I\big((\gamma^I)^{-1}(x+2)\big), \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } j = 1,2; \\ \\ \text{The derivatives } (\psi_1^I)^{(k)}(t_{2l+1}) = 0, \text{ for all } k \geq 0 \text{ and } l \text{ integers} \\ \text{The derivatives } (\psi_2^I)^{(k)}(t_{2l}) = 0, \text{ for all } k \geq 0 \text{ and } l \text{ integers.} \\ \\ S_1^I \text{ is constant on each interval } [t_{2l+1}, t_{2l+3}), \text{ for all } l \text{ integer.} \\ S_2^I \text{ is constant on each interval } [t_{2l}, t_{2l+2}), \text{ for all } l \text{ integer.} \end{array}\right. \tag{8}$$

The following lemma follows easily from (8).

Lemma 2.2. For any smooth function $\eta \in C^\infty(I)$, the functions $\frac{\eta(t)\psi_j^I(t)}{S_j^I(t)}$ with $t \in I$ and $j = 1,2$, are smooth and all their derivatives satisfy

$$\frac{d^k}{dt^k}\left(\frac{\eta(t)\psi_j^I(t)}{S_j^I(t)}\right) = \frac{\frac{d^k}{dt^k}\Big(\eta(t)\psi_j^I(t)\Big)}{S_j^I(t)},$$

for all $k \geq 0$ integer.

Consider the map $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) : I \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^4$ where $\varphi_j : I \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$, with $j = 1, 2$, is the map given by

$$\varphi_j(t, x) = \frac{\psi_j^I(t)}{S_j^I(t)}\Big(\cos(S_j^I(t)x), \sin(S_j^I(t)x)\Big). \tag{9}$$

The following result is essentially proved in [15].

Lemma 2.3. Let $\eta : I \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function and $\delta : I \to (0, \infty)$ be a positive continuous function. Then for each integer l we can choose the step functions $S_1^I|_{[t_{2l+1}, t_{2l+3})}$ and $S_2^I|_{[t_{2l}, t_{2l+2})}$ sufficiently large so that the pull-back metric induced by the map $\eta\varphi : I \times J \to \mathbb{R}^4$ satisfies:

$$(\eta\varphi)^*(\langle\, , \rangle) = \epsilon(t)^2 dt^2 + \eta(t)^2 dx^2, \tag{10}$$

where $\epsilon = \epsilon_\eta : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function satisfying $0 \le \epsilon(t) < \delta(t)$, for all $t \in I$.

Proof. Using Lemma 2.2, the partial derivatives of φ_j, with $j = 1, 2$, satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\big(\eta(t)\varphi_j(t, x)\big)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\big(\eta(t)\psi_j^I(t)\big)'}{S_j^I(t)}\Big(\cos(S_j^I(t)x), \sin(S_j^I(t)x)\Big) \\ \frac{\partial\big(\eta(t)\varphi_j(t, x)\big)}{\partial x} &= \eta(t)\psi_j^I(t)\Big(-\sin(S_j^I(t)x), \cos(S_j^I(t)x)\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, denoting by $\langle\, , \rangle$ the Euclidean metric of $\mathbb{R}^2$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\partial\big(\eta(t)\varphi_j(t, x)\big)}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial\big(\eta(t)\varphi_j(t, x)\big)}{\partial t} \right\rangle &= \left(\frac{\big(\eta(t)\psi_j^I(t)\big)'}{S_j^I(t)}\right)^2, \\ \left\langle \frac{\partial\big(\eta(t)\varphi_j(t, x)\big)}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial\big(\eta(t)\varphi_j(t, x)\big)}{\partial x} \right\rangle &= 0, \\ \left\langle \frac{\partial\big(\eta(t)\varphi_j(t, x)\big)}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial\big(\eta(t)\varphi_j(t, x)\big)}{\partial x} \right\rangle &= \eta(t)^2\psi_j^I(t)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Using (11) and the fact that $\psi_1^I(t)^2 + \psi_2^I(t)^2 = 1$, for all $t \in I$ we have that the pull-back metric induced by $\eta\varphi$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta\varphi)^* \langle\, , \rangle &= \epsilon(t)^2 dt^2 + \eta(t)^2 \left(\psi_1^I(t)^2 + \psi_2^I(t)^2\right) dx^2 \\ &= \epsilon(t)^2 dt^2 + \eta(t)^2 dx^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon = \epsilon_\eta : I \to [0, \infty)$ is the smooth function given by

$$\epsilon_\eta(t)^2 = \frac{\Big(\big(\eta(t)\psi_1^I(t)\big)'\Big)^2}{S_1^I(t)^2} + \frac{\Big(\big(\eta(t)\psi_2^I(t)\big)'\Big)^2}{S_2^I(t)^2} \tag{12}$$

Since $t \in I \mapsto \big(\eta(t)\psi_j^I(t)\big)'$, with $j = 1, 2$, are smooth functions and $\delta : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is a positive continuous function, we can choose for each integer l the step functions $S_1^I|_{[t_{2l+1}, t_{2l+3})}$ and $S_2^I|_{[t_{2l}, t_{2l+2})}$ sufficiently large so that

$$\begin{aligned} \big(\big(\eta(t)\psi_1^I(t)\big)'\big)^2 &< \frac{1}{2} S_1^I(t)^2\, \delta(t)^2, \quad \text{for all } t \in [t_{2l+1}, t_{2l+3}); \\ \big(\big(\eta(t)\psi_2^I(t)\big)'\big)^2 &< \frac{1}{2} S_2^I(t)^2\, \delta(t)^2, \quad \text{for all } t \in [t_{2l}, t_{2l+2}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $0 \leq \epsilon(t)^2 < \delta(t)^2$, for all $t \in I$. Lemma 2.3 is proved. $\square$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Set $0 \leq a \leq n-1$ integer and let $b = n-1-a$. We write the semi-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{4n-2a-4}_a = \mathbb{R}^{2a+4b}_a$ isometrically as the following form

$$\mathbb{R}^{2a+4b}_a = (\mathbb{R}^2_1)^a \times \mathbb{R}^{4b} = \underbrace{\mathbb{R}^2_1 \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^2_1}_{a \text{ times}} \times \mathbb{R}^{4b}.$$

We denote by $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{a+b})$ the coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} = \mathbb{R}^{a+b}$. Let $P_1 : \mathbb{R}^{a+b} \to \mathbb{R}^a$ and $P_2 : \mathbb{R}^{a+b} \to \mathbb{R}^b$ the orthogonal projections

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x} &= P_1(x_1, \ldots, x_{a+b}) = (x_1, \ldots, x_a) \\ \bar{x} &= P_2(x_1, \ldots, x_{a+b}) = (x_{a+1}, \ldots, x_{a+b}). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the maps $\eta(t) = (\eta_1(t), \ldots, \eta_{a+b}(t))$, $\tilde{\eta}(t) = P_1(\eta(t))$ and $\bar{\eta}(t) = P_2(\eta(t))$. Let $\tilde{\eta} \star h : I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to (\mathbb{R}^2_1)^a$ and $\bar{\eta} \star \varphi : I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^{4b}$ be the maps

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\eta} \star h)(t, x_1, \ldots, x_{a+b}) &= \big(\eta_1(t)h(x_1), \ldots, \eta_a(t)h(x_a)\big) \in (\mathbb{R}^2_1)^a; \\ (\bar{\eta} \star \varphi)(t, x_1, \ldots, x_{a+b}) &= \big(\eta_{a+1}(t)\varphi(t, x_{a+1}), \ldots, \eta_{a+b}(t)\varphi(t, x_{a+b})\big) \in \mathbb{R}^{4b}, \end{aligned}$$

were $h : I \to \mathbb{R}^2_1$ and $\varphi : I \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^4$ are smooth maps defined in (7) and (9) respectively. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial(\eta_k(t)h(u))}{\partial t} &= \eta_k'(t)\big(\cosh(u), \sinh(u)\big); \\ \frac{\partial(\eta_k(t)h(u))}{\partial u} &= \eta_k(t)\big(\sinh(u), \cosh(u)\big); \\ \frac{\partial(\eta_r(t)\varphi_j(t,u))}{\partial t} &= \frac{(\eta_r(t)\psi^I_j(t))'}{S^I_j(t)}\Big(\cos(S^I_j(t)u, \sin(S^I_j(t)u))\Big); \\ \frac{\partial(\eta_r(t)\varphi_j(t,u))}{\partial u} &= \eta_r(t)\psi^I_j(t)\Big(-\sin(S^I_j(t)u)\,,\, \cos(S^I_j(t)u)\Big); \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

for all $j = 1, 2$, $t \in I$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$, $k = 1, \ldots, a$ and $r = a+1, \ldots, a+b$. Thus, by standard computations, the pull-back metric by $\tilde{\eta} \star h$ and $\bar{\eta} \star \varphi$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\eta} \star h)^*(\langle\,,\rangle) &= -|\tilde{\eta}'(t)|^2\, dt^2 + \eta_1(t)^2 dx_1^2 + \ldots + \eta_a(t)^2 dx_a^2; \\ (\bar{\eta} \star \varphi)^*(\langle\,,\rangle) &= \epsilon(t)^2\, dt^2 + \eta_{a+1}(t)^2 dx_{a+1}^2 + \ldots + \eta_{a+b}(t)^2 dx_{a+b}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $|\tilde{\eta}'(t)|^2 = \eta_1'(t)^2 + \ldots + \eta_a'(t)^2$ and $\epsilon = \epsilon_\eta : I \to [0, \infty)$ is given by

$$\epsilon_\eta(t)^2 = \sum_{r=a+1}^{a+b} \frac{\Big(\big(\eta_r(t)\psi^I_1(t)\big)'\Big)^2}{S^I_1(t)^2} + \frac{\Big(\big(\eta_r(t)\psi^I_2(t)\big)'\Big)^2}{S^I_2(t)^2}. \tag{15}$$

We choose for each integer l the step functions $S^I_1|_{[t_{2l+1}, t_{2l+3})}$ and $S^I_2|_{[t_{2l}, t_{2l+2})}$ sufficiently large, so that, for all $r = a+1, \ldots, a+b$, it holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \big(\big(\eta_r(t)\psi^I_1(t)\big)'\big)^2 &< \frac{1}{4b} S^I_1(t)^2\, \rho(t)^2, \text{ for all } t \in [t_{2l+1}, t_{2l+3}); \\ \big(\big(\eta_r(t)\psi^I_2(t)\big)'\big)^2 &< \frac{1}{4b} S^I_2(t)^2\, \rho(t)^2, \text{ for all } t \in [t_{2l}, t_{2l+2}). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Thus, $\rho(t)^2 - \epsilon(t)^2 \geq \rho(t)^2 - 2\epsilon(t)^2 > 0$, for all $t \in I$.

Let $f : I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^{4n-2a-3}_a = \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^2_1)^a \times \mathbb{R}^{4b}$ be the map

$$f(t,x) = \left(\int_{t_0}^{t} \sqrt{\rho(\tau)^2 + |\tilde\eta'(\tau)|^2 - \epsilon(\tau)^2} d\tau \, , \, \tilde\eta \star h\,(t,x) \, , \, \bar\eta \star \varphi\,(t,x) \right).$$

Using (14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(\langle \, , \rangle) &= (\rho(t)^2 + |\tilde\eta'(t)|^2 - \epsilon(t)^2) dt^2 + (\tilde\eta \star h)^*(\langle \, , \rangle) + (\bar\eta \star \varphi)^*(\langle \, , \rangle) \\ &= \rho(t)^2 dt^2 + \eta_1(t)^2 dx_1^2 + \ldots + \eta_{a+b}(t)^2 dx_{a+b}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f : M^n \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{4n-2a-3}_a$ is an isometric immersion. Item (i) is proved.

To prove item (vii), we assume that

$$2L = \min_j \left\{ \inf_{t \in I} \eta_{a+j}(t) \;\middle|\; j = 1, \ldots, b \right\} > 0.$$

Consider the map $\gamma(t) = (\gamma_{a+1}(t), \ldots, \gamma_{a+b}(t))$, with $t \in I$, where each function $\gamma_{a+j} : I \to (0, \infty)$ is given by

$$\gamma_{a+j}(t) = \sqrt{\eta_{a+j}(t)^2 - L^2}.$$

Let $\bar f : I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^{5n-3a-4}_a = \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^2_1)^a \times \mathbb{R}^b \times \mathbb{R}^{4b}$ be the map

$$\bar f(t,x) = \left(\int_{t_0}^{t} \sqrt{\rho(\tau)^2 + |\tilde\eta'(\tau)|^2 - \epsilon_\gamma(\tau)^2} \, d\tau \, , \, \tilde\eta \star h\,(t,x) \, , \, L\bar x \, , \, \gamma \star \varphi\,(t,x) \right),$$

where ϵ_γ is defined in (15). Since ϵ_γ satisfies

$$(\gamma\varphi)^*(\langle \, , \rangle) = \epsilon_\gamma(t)^2 dt^2 + \eta_{a+1}(t)^2 dx_{a+1}^2 + \ldots + \eta_{a+b}(t)^2 dx_{a+b}^2,$$

by a standard computation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar f^*(\langle \, , \rangle) &= \left(\rho(t)^2 + |\tilde\eta'(t)|^2 - \epsilon_\gamma(t)^2\right) dt^2 + (\tilde\eta \star h)^*(\langle \, , \rangle) \\ &\quad + L^2(dx_{a+1}^2 + \ldots + dx_{a+b}^2) + (\gamma \star \varphi)^*(\langle \, , \rangle) \\ &= \rho(t)^2 dt^2 + \eta_1(t)^2 dx_1^2 + \ldots + \eta_{a+b}(t)^2 dx_{a+b}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\bar f : I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^{5n-3a-4}_a$ is an isometric immersion.

Claim 3.1. *$\bar f$ is an embedding.*

In fact, it is simple to show that the map $F : I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$F(t,x) = (s(t) \, , \, \eta_1(t) \sinh(x_1) \, , \, \ldots \, , \, \eta_a(t) \sinh(x_a), L\bar x) \, ,$$

where $s(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} \sqrt{\rho(\tau)^2 + |\tilde\eta'(\tau)|^2 - \epsilon_\gamma(\tau)^2} \, d\tau$, with $t \in I$, is an diffeomorphism of $I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ onto its image $\mathcal{W} = F(I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Furthermore, the projection $P : \mathbb{R}^{5n-3a-4} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2a} \times \mathbb{R}^{5b} \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^a \times \mathbb{R}^b$ given by

$$P\big(t, x_{11}, x_{12}, \ldots, x_{a1}, x_{a2}, y_1, \ldots, y_{5b}\big) = \big(t, x_{12}, \ldots, x_{a2}, y_1, \ldots, y_b\big)$$

satisfies $P \circ \bar f(t,x) = F(t,x)$. This implies that $\bar f$ is injective and the inverse map $(\bar f)^{-1} : \bar f(I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \to I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is continuous since $(\bar f)^{-1} = F^{-1} \circ P|_{\bar f(I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})}$. This concludes Claim 3.1. Item (vii) is proved.

To prove item (ii), fix $c > 0$. We choose for each integer l the step functions $S^I_1|_{[t_{2l+1}, t_{2l+3})}$ and $S^I_2|_{[t_{2l}, t_{2l+2})}$ sufficiently large so that (16) is satisfied and, for all

$r = 1, \dots, b$, it holds:

$$\eta_{a+l}(t)^2\psi_1^I(t)^2 < \frac{1}{8c}S_1^I(t)^2, \text{ for all } t \in [t_{2l+1}, t_{2l+3}); \tag{17}$$

$$\eta_{a+l}(t)^2\psi_2^I(t)^2 < \frac{1}{8c}S_2^I(t)^2, \text{ for all } t \in [t_{2l}, t_{2l+2}).$$

Consider the smooth function $a : I \to [0, \infty)$ given by

$$a(t) = \sum_{l=1}^{b}\left(\frac{\eta_{a+l}(t)^2\psi_1^I(t)^2}{S_1^I(t)^2} + \frac{\eta_{a+l}(t)^2\psi_2^I(t)^2}{S_2^I(t)^2}\right); \tag{18}$$

We have that $a(t) = \langle \bar\eta \star \varphi\,(t,x), \bar\eta \star \varphi\,(t,x)\rangle$ and $0 \le a(t) < \frac{1}{4c}$, for all $t \in I$. Furthermore, consider the smooth function $b : I \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $b(t) = a(t) - |\tilde\eta(t)|^2$.

Let $f_s : I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2a+4b}$ be the map defined by

$$f_s(t,x) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{c} - b(t)}\, g(\theta_s(t))\,,\, \tilde\eta \star h\,(t,x)\,,\, \bar\eta \star \varphi\,(t,x)\right),$$

where $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is given in (6) and $\theta_s : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is the smooth function given by

$$\theta_s(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{c} - b(\tau)\right)}\left(\rho(\tau)^2 + |\tilde\eta'(\tau)|^2 - \epsilon(\tau) - \frac{b'(\tau)^2}{4\left(\frac{1}{c} - b(\tau)\right)}\right)}\, d\tau.$$

Claim 3.2. *For each integer l, we can choose the step functions $S_1^I|_{[t_{2l+1},t_{2l+3})}$ and $S_2^I|_{[t_{2l},t_{2l+2})}$ sufficiently large so that the function $\theta_s : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is well defined.*

In fact, since $b'(t) = a'(t) - 2\langle\tilde\eta'(t), \tilde\eta(t)\rangle$ and

$$a'(t) = \sum_{l=1}^{b}\left(\frac{\left(\eta_{a+l}(t)^2\psi_1^I(t)^2\right)'}{S_1^I(t)^2} + \frac{\left(\eta_{a+l}(t)^2\psi_2^I(t)^2\right)'}{S_2^I(t)^2}\right); \tag{19}$$

we have that $\frac{b'(t)^2}{4} \le \delta(t) + |\tilde\eta'(t)|^2|\tilde\eta(t)|^2$, where $\delta : I \to [0, \infty)$ is the continuous function given by

$$\delta(t) = \left|\frac{a'(t)^2}{4} - a'(t)\langle\tilde\eta'(t), \tilde\eta(t)\rangle\right|. \tag{20}$$

Using that $\frac{1}{c} - b(t) = \frac{1}{c} - a(t) + |\tilde\eta(t)|^2 > \frac{1}{2c} + |\tilde\eta(t)|^2$, it holds that

$$\frac{b'(t)^2}{4(\frac{1}{c} - b(t))} \le \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2c} + |\tilde\eta(t)|^2}(\delta(t) + |\tilde\eta(t)|^2|\tilde\eta'(t)|^2).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(t)^2 + |\tilde\eta'(t)|^2 - \epsilon(t) - \frac{b'(t)^2}{4(\frac{1}{c} - b(t))} &\ge \rho(t)^2 - \epsilon(t) + |\tilde\eta'(t)|^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2c} + |\tilde\eta(t)|^2}(\delta(t) + |\tilde\eta(t)|^2|\tilde\eta'(t)|^2) \\
&= \rho(t)^2 - \epsilon(t) + |\tilde\eta'(t)|^2\left(1 - \frac{|\tilde\eta(t)|^2}{\frac{1}{2c} + |\tilde\eta(t)|^2}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{\delta(t)}{\frac{1}{2c} + |\tilde\eta(t)|^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\Gamma : I \to \mathbb{R}$ be the continuous function

$$\Gamma(t) = (\tfrac{1}{2c} + |\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2)\left(\rho(t)^2 - \epsilon(t) + |\tilde{\eta}'(t)|^2\left(1 - \tfrac{|\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2}{\frac{1}{2c}+|\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2}\right)\right).$$

Note that $\Gamma(t) > 0$, for all $t \in I$. Thus, using (19) and (20), we can choose for each integer l the step functions $S_1^I|_{[t_{2l+1},t_{2l+3})}$ and $S_2^I|_{[t_{2l},t_{2l+2})}$ sufficiently large satisfying (16), (17) and $\delta(t) < \Gamma(t)$, for all $t \in I$. This implies that $\rho(t)^2 + |\tilde{\eta}'(t)|^2 - \epsilon(t) - \frac{b'(t)^2}{4(\frac{1}{c}-b(t))} > 0$, for all $t \in I$. Claim 3.2 is proved.

Note that $\langle f_s(t,x), f_s(t,x)\rangle = \frac{1}{c}$. Using that $\langle g'(u), g(u)\rangle = 0$ and $|g'(u)|^2 = 1$, by a standard computation,

$$\begin{aligned} f_s^*(\langle\,,\rangle) &= \left(\frac{b'(t)^2}{4\left(\frac{1}{c} - b(t)\right)} + \left(\frac{1}{c} - b(t)\right)\theta_s'(t)^2\right)dt^2 \\ &\quad + (\tilde{\eta}\star h)^*(\langle\,,\rangle) + (\bar{\eta}\star\varphi)^*(\langle\,,\rangle) \\ &= \rho(t)^2 dt^2 + \eta_1(t)^2 dx_1^2 + \ldots + \eta_{a+b}(t)^2 dx_{a+b}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $f_s : M^n \to \mathbb{S}_a^{4n-2a-3}(c)$ is an isometric immersion. Item (ii) is proved.

Let $f_h : I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}_{a+1}^{4n-a-2} = \mathbb{R}_1^2 \times \mathbb{R}^a \times (\mathbb{R}_1^2)^a \times \mathbb{R}^{4b}$ be the map

$$f_h(t,x) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{c} + a(t)}\, h(\theta_h(t))\,, \tilde{\eta}(t)\,, \tilde{\eta}\star h\,, \bar{\eta}\star\varphi\right),$$

where $\theta_h : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is the function given by

$$\theta_h(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \sqrt{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{c} + a(t)}\left(\rho(t)^2 - \epsilon(t)^2 + \frac{a'(t)^2}{4\left(\frac{1}{c} + a(t)\right)}\right)}.$$

Note that θ_h is well defined since $\rho(t)^2 - \epsilon(t) > 0$. It is easy to see that

$$\langle f_h(t,x), f_h(t,x)\rangle = -\big(\frac{1}{c} + a(t)\big) + |\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2 + b(t) = -\frac{1}{c}.$$

Using that $\langle h(u), h(u)\rangle = -1$ and $\langle h(u), h'(u)\rangle = 0$ and $\langle h'(u), h'(u)\rangle = 1$, for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$, by a standard computation,

$$\begin{aligned} f_h^*(\langle\,,\rangle) &= \left(-\left(\frac{a'(t)^2}{\frac{1}{c} + a(t)}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{c} + a(t)\right)\theta_h'(t)^2 + |\tilde{\eta}'(t)|^2\right)dt^2 \\ &\quad + (\tilde{\eta}\star h)^*(\langle\,,\rangle) + (\bar{\eta}\star\varphi)^*(\langle\,,\rangle) \\ &= \rho(t)^2(dt)^2 + \eta_1(t)^2(dx_1)^2 + \ldots + \eta_{a+b}(t)^2(dx_{a+b})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f_h : M^n \to \mathbb{H}_a^{4n-a-3}$ is an isometric immersion. Item (iii) is proved.

We will prove item (iv). Let $T_1 : \mathbb{R} \to (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and $T_2 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the analytic functions

$$T_1(u) = \frac{\pi}{4}(1 + \tanh(u)) \quad \text{and} \quad T_2(u) = \int_0^u \sqrt{1 - T_1'(\tau)^2}d\tau. \tag{21}$$

Note that T_2 is analytic since $T_1'(u) = \frac{\pi}{4}\operatorname{sech}^2(u) \leq \frac{\pi}{4} < 1$.

Consider the map $\hat{\varphi} = (\varphi_{11}, \varphi_{21}, \varphi_{12}, \varphi_{22}) : I \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^8$, where $\varphi_{jk} : I \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$, with $j, k = 1, 2$, are the maps given by

$$\varphi_{jk}(t,u) = \frac{\psi_j^I(t)}{S_j^I(t)}\Big(\cos\big(T_k(S_j^I(t)u)\big)\,, \sin\big(T_k(S_j^I(t)u)\big)\Big). \tag{22}$$

It follows from (8) that the maps $\eta_r(t)\varphi_{jk}(t,u)$, with $j,k=1,2$, $r=a+1,\dots,a+b$ and $(t,u)\in I\times\mathbb{R}$, are smooth and their partial derivatives satisfy:

$$\frac{\partial\left(\eta_r(t)\varphi_{jk}(t,u)\right)}{\partial t}=\frac{\left(\eta_r(t)\psi_j^I(t)\right)'}{S_j^I(t)}\Big(\cos\left(T_k(S_j^I(t)u)\right),\sin\left(T_k(S_j^I(t)u)\right)\Big);$$

$$\frac{\partial\left(\eta_r(t)\varphi_{jk}(t,u)\right)}{\partial u}=\eta_r(t)\psi_j^I(t)T_k'(S_j^I(t)u)\Big(-\sin\left(T_k(S_j^I(t)u)\right),\cos\left(T_k(S_j^I(t)u)\right)\Big).$$

Thus, it holds that

$$\left\langle\frac{\partial\left(\eta_r(t)\varphi_{jk}(t,u)\right)}{\partial t},\frac{\partial\left(\eta_r(t)\varphi_{jk}(t,u)\right)}{\partial t}\right\rangle=\left(\frac{(\eta_r(t)\psi_j^I(t))'}{(S_j^I(t))}\right)^2;$$

$$\left\langle\frac{\partial\left(\eta_r(t)\varphi_{jk}(t,u)\right)}{\partial t},\frac{\partial\left(\eta_r(t)\varphi_{jk}(t,u)\right)}{\partial x}\right\rangle=0;$$

$$\left\langle\frac{\partial\left(\eta_r(t)\varphi_{jk}(t,u)\right)}{\partial u},\frac{\partial\left(\eta_r(t)\varphi_{jk}(t,u)\right)}{\partial u}\right\rangle=(\eta(t))^2(\psi_j^I(t))^2(T_k'(S_j(t)u))^2.$$

Since $T_1'(t)^2+T_2'(t)^2=\psi_1^I(t)^2+\psi_2^I(t)^2=1$, the pull-back metric induced by the map $(\bar{\eta}\star\hat{\varphi}):I\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\to\mathbb{R}^{8b}$ satisfies

$$(\bar{\eta}\star\hat{\varphi})^*(\langle\,,\rangle)=2\epsilon(t)^2\,dt^2+\eta_{a+1}(t)^2dx_{a+1}^2+\ldots+\eta_{a+b}(t)^2dx_{a+b}^2, \tag{23}$$

where $\epsilon=\epsilon_\eta:I\to[0,\infty)$ is the function given as in (15) and satisfying that $0\leq\epsilon(t)<\frac{\rho(t)^2}{2}$, for all $t\in I$.

Let $\hat{f}:I\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\to\mathbb{R}_a^{8n-6a-7}=\mathbb{R}\times(\mathbb{R}_1^2)^a\times\mathbb{R}^{8b}$ be the map

$$\hat{f}(t,x)=\left(\int_{t_0}^{t}\sqrt{\rho(\tau)^2+|\tilde{\eta}'(\tau)|^2-2\epsilon(\tau)^2}\,d\tau\,,\,\tilde{\eta}\star h\,(t,x)\,,\,\bar{\eta}\star\hat{\varphi}\,(t,x)\right).$$

Using (23), it is easy to see that $\hat{f}:M\to\mathbb{R}^{8n-6a-7}$ is an isometric immersion.

Claim 3.3. *The immersion $\hat{f}$ is injective.*

In fact, assume that $\hat{f}(t_1,x^1)=\hat{f}(t_2,x^2)$, for some $t_1,t_2\in I$ and $x^1,x^2\in\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. We write $x^j=(x_1^j,\dots,x_{a+b}^j)$, with $j=1,2$. Using that the first coordinate of $\hat{f}(t,x)$ is the strictly increasing function

$$s(t)=\int_{t_0}^{t}\sqrt{\rho(\tau)^2+|\tilde{\eta}'(\tau)|^2-2\epsilon(\tau)^2}\,d\tau,$$

we obtain that $t_1=t_2$. Since $\psi_1^I(t_1)^2+\psi_2^I(t_1)^2=\psi_1^I(t_2)^2+\psi_2^I(t_2)^2=1$ we can assume, without loss of generality, that $\psi_1^I(t_1)\neq 0$. Using that $\eta_i(t)>0$, for all $i=1,\dots,a+b$ and $\hat{f}(t_1,x^1)=\hat{f}(t_1,x^2)$, we have that $h(x_k^1)=h(x_k^2)$ and $\varphi_{11}(t_1,x_r^1)=\varphi_{11}(t_1,x_r^2)$, for all $k=1,\dots,a$ and $r=a+1,\dots,a+b$. These imply that

$$\sinh(x_k^1)=\sinh(x_k^2)\quad\text{and}\quad\sin(T_1(S_1(t_1)x_r^1))=\sin(T_1(S_1(t_1)x_r^2)),$$

for all $k=1,\dots,a$ and $r=a+1,\dots,a+b$. Since $S_1(t_1)>0$ and the functions $\sinh(u)$ and $\sin(T_1(u))$, with $u\in\mathbb{R}$, are injective we obtain that $x^1=x^2$. Claim 3.3 is proved.

Claim 3.4. *$\hat{f}$ is an embedding.*

In fact, we need to show that the inverse map $\hat{f}^{-1} : \hat{f}(I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \to I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is continuous. In fact, let $y_n = \hat{f}(t_n, x^n)$ be a sequence that converges to a point $y_0 = \hat{f}(t_0, x^0)$. Thus we have:

(a) $\lim s(t_n) = s(t_0)$;
(b) $\lim \varphi_{jk}(t_n, x^n) = \varphi_{jk}(t_0, x^0)$.

Since $s(t)$ is a diffeomorphism of I onto its image we obtain that from (a) that $\lim t_n = t_0$. Using that $\psi_1^I(t_0)^2 + \psi_2^I(t_0)^2 = 1$, we can assume, without loss of generality, that $\psi_1^I(t_0) \neq 0$. Since S_1^I is a positive step function and $\psi_1^I(t_0) > 0$ we obtain that S_1^I is a positive constant function in a neighborhood of t_0. This implies that $S_1(t_n) = S_1(t_0) > 0$, for n sufficiently large. Since $\lim_n \psi_j^I(t_n) = \psi_j^I(t_0) > 0$, for all $j = 1, 2$ we obtain from (b) and (22) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_n \cos(T_1(S_j^I(t_0)x_n) &= \lim_n \frac{S_j^I(t_n)}{\psi_j^I(t_n)} P\varphi_{j1}(t_n, x^n) = \frac{S_j^I(t_0)}{\psi_j^I(t_0)} P\varphi_{j1}(t_0, x^0) \\
&= \cos(T_1(S_j^I(t_0)x^0)),
\end{aligned}$$

where $P : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is the projection $P(u, v) = u$ for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. Again using that $S_1(t_0) > 0$ and since $\cos(T_1(u))$ is a diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$ onto $(0, 1)$, it follows that $\lim_n x_n = x_0$. Claim 3.4 and item (iv) are proved.

We will prove item (v). Let $\hat{f}_s : I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}_a^{8n-6a-4} = \mathbb{R}^4 \times (\mathbb{R}_1^2)^a \times \mathbb{R}^{8b}$ be the map

$$\hat{f}_s(t, x) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2c} + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2 - a(t)}\, \mathcal{C}(\hat{\theta}_s(t))\,,\ \tilde{\eta} \star h\,(t, x)\,,\ \bar{\eta} \star \hat{\varphi}\,(t, x) \right), \tag{24}$$

where $\mathcal{C}(u) = \big(\cos(T_1(u)), \sin(T_1(u)), \cos(T_2(u)), \sin(T_2(u))\big)$, with $u \in \mathbb{R}$, and the function $\hat{\theta}_s : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\hat{\theta}_s(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} \sqrt{\frac{G(\tau)}{\frac{1}{2c} + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\eta}(\tau)|^2 - a(\tau)}}\, d\tau,$$

where $G : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by $G(t) = \left(\rho(t)^2 + |\tilde{\eta}'(t)|^2 - 2\epsilon(t)^2 - \frac{\left(\left(a(t) + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2\right)'\right)^2}{2\left(\frac{1}{2c} + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2 - a(t)\right)} \right)$.

Claim 3.5. *For each integer l, we can choose the step functions $S_1^I|_{[t_{2l+1}, t_{2l+3})}$ and $S_2^I|_{[t_{2l}, t_{2l+2})}$ sufficiently large so that the function $\hat{\theta}_s : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is well defined.*

In fact, it follows from (18) that $\frac{1}{2c} + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2 - a(t) \geq \frac{1}{2c} - a(t) > \frac{1}{4c}$. Note that

$$\left(\left(a(t) + \frac{1}{2}\langle \tilde{\eta}(t), \tilde{\eta}(t) \rangle \right)' \right)^2 = \left(a'(t) + \langle \tilde{\eta}'(t), \tilde{\eta}(t) \rangle \right)^2 \leq \delta(t) + |\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2 |\tilde{\eta}'(t)|^2,$$

where $\delta(t) = a'(t)^2 + 2|a'(t)||\tilde{\eta}'(t)||\tilde{\eta}(t)|$. Thus, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left(\left(a(t) + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2\right)'\right)^2}{2\left(\frac{1}{2c} + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2 - a(t)\right)} &\leq 2c\,\delta(t) + |\tilde{\eta}'(t)|^2 \frac{|\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2}{|\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{c} - 2a(t)\right)} \\
&\leq 2c\,\delta(t) + |\tilde{\eta}'(t)|^2
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\rho(t)^2 + |\tilde{\eta}'(t)|^2 - 2\epsilon(t)^2 - \frac{\left(\left(a(t) + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2\right)'\right)^2}{2\left(\frac{1}{2c} + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2 - a(t)\right)} \quad \geq \quad \rho(t)^2 - 2\epsilon(t)^2 - 2c\,\delta(t)$$

Since $\rho(t) - 2\epsilon(t) > 0$, using (19) we also can choose for each integer l the step functions $S_1^I|_{[t_{2l+1}, t_{2l+3})}$ and $S_2^I|_{[t_{2l}, t_{2l+2})}$ sufficiently large satisfying that $\rho(t)^2 - 2\epsilon(t)^2 - 2c\delta(t) > 0$. This implies that $\frac{G(t)}{\frac{1}{2c} + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2 - a(t)} > 0$. Claim 3.5 is proved.

Note that $\left\langle \hat{f}_s(t,x), \hat{f}_s(t,x) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{c}$ since $|\mathcal{C}(\hat{\theta}_s(t))|^2 = 2$, $|\bar{\eta} \star \hat{\varphi}\,(t,x)|^2 = 2a(t)$ and $\langle \tilde{\eta} \star h\,(t,x)\,,\, \tilde{\eta} \star h\,(t,x) \rangle = -|\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2$. Thus the image $\hat{f}_s(I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \subset \mathbb{S}_a^{8n-6a-5}(c)$. Furthermore, a direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_s^*(\langle\,,\rangle) \quad &= \quad \left(\left(\frac{\left(\left(a(t) + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2\right)'\right)^2}{2\left(\frac{1}{2c} + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2 - a(t)\right)} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2c} + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2 - a(t) \right) \hat{\theta}_s'(t)^2 \right) dt^2 \\ &\quad\; + (\tilde{\eta} \star h)^*(\langle\,,\rangle) + (\bar{\eta} \star \hat{\varphi})^*(\langle\,,\rangle) \\ &= \quad \rho(t)^2 dt^2 + \eta_1(t)^2 dx_1^2 + \ldots + \eta_{a+b}(t)^2 dx_{a+b}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\hat{f}_s : I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{S}_a^{8n-6a-5}(c)$ is an isometric immersion.

Claim 3.6. *The immersion $\hat{f}_s$ is injective.*

In fact, assume that $\hat{f}_s(t_1, x^1) = \hat{f}_s(t_2, x^2)$, for some $t_1, t_2 \in I$ and $x^1, x^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. In particular, using (24), we have

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{2c} + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\eta}(t_1)|^2 - a(t_1)}\, \mathcal{C}(\hat{\theta}_s(t_1)) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2c} + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\eta}(t_2)|^2 - a(t_2)}\, \mathcal{C}(\hat{\theta}_s(t_2)).$$

Since $|\mathcal{C}(\hat{\theta}_s(t))| = 2$, for all $t \in I$, we obtain that $|\tilde{\eta}(t_1)|^2 - 2a(t_1) = |\tilde{\eta}(t_2)|^2 - 2a(t_2)$, thus $\mathcal{C}(\hat{\theta}_s(t_1)) = \mathcal{C}(\hat{\theta}_s(t_2))$. This implies that $\cos(T_1(\hat{\theta}_s(t_1))) = \cos(T_1(\hat{\theta}_s(t_2)))$. Since the functions $\hat{\theta}_s$, $\cos(T_1(u))$ are diffeomorphism of I, $\mathbb{R}$ onto its corresponding images we obtain that $t_1 = t_2$. The argument to show that $x^1 = x^2$ is similar to Claim 3.3.

Claim 3.7. *$\hat{f}_s$ is an embedding.*

In fact, we need to prove that the inverse map $(\hat{f}_s)^{-1} : \hat{f}_s(I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \to I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is continuous. To do this, let $y_n = \hat{f}_s(t_n, x^n)$ be a sequence that converges to a point $y_0 = f(t_0, x^0)$. In particular, using (24), we obtain

$$\lim_n \sqrt{\frac{1}{2c} + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\eta}(t_n)|^2 - a(t_n)}\, \mathcal{C}(\hat{\theta}_s(t_n)) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2c} + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\eta}(t_0)|^2 - a(t_0)}\, \mathcal{C}(\hat{\theta}_s(t_0)).$$

Since $|\mathcal{C}(\hat{\theta}_s(t))| = 2$, for all $t \in I$, it follows that $\lim |\tilde{\eta}(t_n)|^2 - 2a(t_n) = |\tilde{\eta}(t_0)|^2 - 2a(t_0)$. Since $\frac{1}{2c} + \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{\eta}(t_0)|^2 - a(t_0) > 0$ we obtain that $\lim \mathcal{C}(\hat{\theta}_s(t_n)) = \mathcal{C}(\hat{\theta}_s(t_0))$. Thus, $\lim \cos(T_1(\hat{\theta}_s(t_n))) = \cos(T_1(\hat{\theta}_s(t_0)))$. Using that $\cos(T_1(\hat{\theta}_s(t)))$ is a diffeomorphism of I onto its image it follows that $\lim t_n = t_0$. The argument to show that $\lim x^n = x^0$ is similar to Claim 3.4. This concludes Claim 3.7. Item (v) is proved.

Finally we will prove (vi). Let $\hat{f}_h : I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^{8n-5a-6}_{a+1} = \mathbb{R}^2_1 \times \mathbb{R}^a \times (\mathbb{R}^2_1)^a \times \mathbb{R}^{8b}$ be the map

$$\hat{f}_h(t,x) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{c} + 2a(t)}\, h(\hat{\theta}_h(t)) \,,\, \tilde{\eta}(t) \,,\, \tilde{\eta} \star h\,(t,x) \,,\, \bar{\eta} \star \hat{\varphi}\,(t,x) \right), \tag{25}$$

where $a : I \to [0, \infty)$ is defined in (18) and $\hat{\theta}_h : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is the function given by

$$\hat{\theta}_h(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{c} + 2a(\tau)} \left(\rho(\tau)^2 - 2\epsilon(\tau)^2 + \frac{a'(\tau)^2}{\frac{1}{c} + 2a(\tau)} \right)}\, d\tau \tag{26}$$

The function $\hat{\theta}_h : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is well defined since $\rho(t)^2 - 2\epsilon(t)^2 > 0$. Note that $\left\langle \hat{f}_h(t,x)\hat{f}_h(t,x) \right\rangle = -\left(\frac{1}{c} + 2a(t)\right) + |\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2 - |\tilde{\eta}(t)|^2 + 2a(t) = -\frac{1}{c}$. Thus the image $\hat{f}_h(I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \subset \mathbb{H}^{8n-5a-7}_a(-c)$. Furthermore, by a standard computation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{f}_h)^*(\langle\, , \rangle) \quad &= \quad \left(-\frac{a'(t)^2}{\frac{1}{c} + 2a(t)^2} + \left(\frac{1}{c} + 2a(t) \right) \hat{\theta}'_h(t)^2 + |\tilde{\eta}'(t)|^2 \right) dt^2 \\ &\quad + (\tilde{\eta} \star h)^*(\langle\, , \rangle) + (\bar{\eta} \star \hat{\varphi})^*(\langle\, , \rangle) \\ &= \quad \rho(t)^2 dt^2 + \eta_1(t)^2 dx_1^2 + \ldots + \eta_{a+b}(t)^2 dx_{a+b}^2 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\hat{f}_h : M \to \mathbb{R}^{8n-5a-7}_a$ is an isometric immersion.

Claim 3.8. *The immersion* $\hat{f}_h$ *is injective.*

In fact, assume that $\hat{f}_h(t_1, x^1) = \hat{f}_h(t_2, x^2)$, for some $t_1, t_2 \in I$ and $x^1, x^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Since $\left\langle h(\hat{\theta}_h(t)), h(\hat{\theta}_h(t)) \right\rangle = -1$ it follows from (25) that $a(t_1) = a(t_2)$, hence $h(\hat{\theta}_h(t_1)) = h(\hat{\theta}_h(t_2))$. Thus $\sinh(\hat{\theta}_h(t_1)) = \sinh(\hat{\theta}_h(t_2))$. Using that $\sinh(\hat{\theta}_h(t))$, with $t \in I$, is injective we obtain that $t_1 = t_2$. The argument to show that $x^1 = x^2$ is similar to Claim 3.3. This proves Claim 3.8.

Claim 3.9. $\hat{f}_h$ *is an embedding.*

In fact, it suffices to prove that the inverse map $(\hat{f}_h)^{-1} : \hat{f}_h(I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \to I \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is continuous. To do this, let $y_n = \hat{f}_h(t_n, x^n)$ be a sequence convergent to a point $y_0 = \hat{f}_h(t_0, x^0)$. In particular, we obtain that

$$\lim_n \sqrt{\frac{1}{c} + 2a(t_n)}\, h(\hat{\theta}_h(t_n)) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{c} + 2a(t_0)}\, h(\hat{\theta}_h(t_0)).$$

Since $\left\langle h(\hat{\theta}_h(t)), h(\hat{\theta}_h(t)) \right\rangle \equiv -1$ we obtain that $\lim \frac{1}{c} + a(t_n) = \frac{1}{c} + a(t_0) > 0$, hence $\lim h(\hat{\theta}_h(t_n)) = h(\hat{\theta}_h(t_0))$, which implies that $\lim \sinh(\hat{\theta}_h(t_n)) = \sinh(\hat{\theta}_h(t_0))$. Since $\sinh(\hat{\theta}_h(t))$ is a diffeomorphism of I onto its image, we obtain that $\lim t_n = t_0$. The argument to prove that $\lim x^n = x^0$ is similar to Claim 3.4. This concludes Claim 3.9. Theorem 1.1 is proved.

References

[1] Aminov, Y. A.: *Imbedding problems: Geometric and topological aspects*, Problems in Geometry, vol. 13 (Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki), VINITI, Moscow 1982, 119157; English transl., J. Soviet Math. 25 (1984), 1308 – 1331.

[2] Azov, D. G.: *Imbedding by the Blanuša method of certain classes of complete n-dimensional Riemannian metrics in Euclidean spaces*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. 1985, no. 5, 70 – 74; English transl., Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. 40:5 (1985), 64 – 66.

[3] Azov, D. G.: *Isometric embedding of n-dimensional metrics into Euclidean and spherical spaces.* (Russian) Vestnik Chelyabinsk. Univ. Ser. 3 Mat. Mekh. 1994, no. 1(2), 12 – 16.
[4] Blanusă, D.: *Über die Einbettung hyperbolischer Räume in euklidische Räume.* Monatsh. Math. 59 (1955), 217 – 229.
[5] Blanuša, D.: *C^∞-isometric imbeddings of the hyperbolic plane and of cylinders with hyperbolic metric in spherical spaces.* Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 57 (1962) 321 – 337.
[6] Borisenko, A. A.: *Isometric immersions of space forms in Riemannian and pseudo-Riemannian spaces of constant curvature.* (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 56 (2001), no. 3(339), 3 – 78; translation in Russian Math. Surveys 56 (2001), no. 3, 425 – 497.
[7] Chen, B.-Y., *On isometric minimal immersions from warped products into real space forms.* Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) 45 (2002), no. 3, 579 – 587.
[8] Dajczer, M. *Submanifolds and isometric immersions.* Based on the notes prepared by Mauricio Antonucci, Gilvan Oliveira, Paulo Lima-Filho and Rui Tojeiro. Mathematics Lecture Series, 13. Publish or Perish, Inc., Houston, TX, 1990.
[9] Dajczer, M.; Tojeiro, R., *Isometric immersions in codimension two of warped products into space forms.* Illinois J. Math. 48 (2004), no. 3, 711 – 746.
[10] Florit, L., *Warped product structure of submanifolds with nonpositive extrinsic curvature in space forms.* Differential Geom. Appl. 25 (2007), no. 1, 23 – 28.
[11] Gromov, M. L.; Rohlin, V. A.: *Imbeddings and immersions in Riemannian geometry.* (Russian) Uspehi Mat. Nauk 25 1970 no. 5 (155), 362.
[12] Günther, M., *Isometric embeddings of Riemannian manifolds*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Kyoto, Japan, 1990, 1137 – 1143.
[13] Han, Q., Hong, J.-X.: *Isometric embedding of Riemannian manifolds in Euclidean spaces.* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 130. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
[14] Henke, W. : *Isometrische Immersionen des n-dim, hyperbolischen Raumes $\mathbb{H}^n$ in E^{4n-3}.* Manuscripta Math. 34 (1981), 265 – 278.
[15] Henke, W. and Nettekoven, W. : *The hyperbolic n-space as a graph in Euclidean $(6n-6)$-space.* Manuscripta Math. 59 (1987), no. 1, 13 – 20.
[16] Hilbert, D.: *Über Flächen von konstanter Krümmung.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1901), 87-99.
[17] Jacobowitz, H.: *Implicit function theorems and isometric embeddings.* Ann. of Math. (2) 95 (1972), 191 – 225.
[18] Nash, J.: *The imbedding problem for Riemannian manifolds.* Ann. of Math. (2) 63 (1956), 2063.
[19] Nlker, S. *Isometric immersions of warped products.* Differential Geom. Appl. 6 (1996), no. 1, 1 – 30.
[20] Poznyak, E.G.: *Isometric immersions of two-dimensional Riemannian metrics in Euclidean space.* Russian Math. Surv. 28 (4), 47 – 77 (1973) (Russian)
[21] Poznyak, G. and Sokolov, D. D.: *Isometric immersions of Riemannian spaces in Euclidean spaces*, Algebra, Geometry, and Topology, vol. 15 (Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki), VINITI, Moscow 1977, pp. 173 – 211; English transl., J. Soviet Math. 14 (1980), 1407 – 1428.
[22] Rozendorn, È. R.: *A realization of the metric $ds^2 = du^2 + f^2(u)dv^2$ in five-dimensional Euclidean space*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Armenian SSR 30:4 (1960), 197 – 199. (Russian)
[23] Sabitov, I. Kh.: *Isometric immersions of the Lobachevsky plane in E^4"*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 30:5 (1989), 179 – 186; English transl., Siberian Math. J. 30 (1989), 805 – 811.

Heudson Mirandola
Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro
Instituto de Matemática
21945-970 Rio de Janeiro-RJ
Brazil
`mirandola@im.ufrj.br`

Feliciano Vitório
Universidade Federal de Alagoas
Instituto de Matemática
57072-900 Maceió-AL
Brazil
feliciano@pos.mat.ufal.br